MSS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 4449 OF 2004

DHULE ZILHA NAGRIK SAHAKARI
PATPEDHYANCHE ZILHA SAHAKARI
FEDERATION DULE .. PETITIONER

Vs.

THE RETURNING OFFICER TO THE
ELECTIONS OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS... RESPONDENTS

Ms. Parasnis h/f G. S. Godbole for
R-1,3,43,50,4, 23,27,47,49

Mr. K. K. Tated for R-6

CORAM: SMT. RANJANA DESAI &
SMT. R. S. DALVI,JJ.

DATE: DECEMBER 4, 2007.

P.C.

. On the last two occasions, when this petition was on our board, learned counsel for the petitioner did not remain present. We, therefore, adjourned the petition. Today again learned counsel for the petitioner is not present.

2. Mr. Tated, learned counsel for respondent 6 i.e. Radheshyam Devkisan Chandar Buldhana Urban Co-op. Credit Society Ltd. states that the petition has become infructuous. He has pointed

out that in the petition there is a challenge to the election of respondent 2 which was held on 16/11/02. The prayer made in the petition is that disputes filed by the petitioner dated 31/1/03 be decided within three months. The writ petition is filed on 18/8/03.

3. We are informed that election has taken place. The managing committee is in charge of the society. The prayer of the petitioner made in the petition that the dispute be disposed of within a period of three months has also become infructuous because that period is already over. Learned counsel for the respondent 6 is right when he says that the petition has become infructuous.

4. Petition is disposed of as infructuous.

JUDGE

JUDGE